# CITY OF IRVINE, CALIFORNIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2014

## CITY OF IRVINE, CALIFORNIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2014

## JUNE 30, 2014

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page <u>Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014	9
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013	11



- David E. Hale, CPA, CFP Deborah A, Harper, CPA
- Donald G. Slater, CPA
  - · Gary A. Cates, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA Michael D. Mangold, CPA Susan F. Matz, CPA

  - · David S. Myers, CPA
- . Bryan S. Gruber, CPA

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of Irvine, California, (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 24, 2014.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Brea, California October 24, 2014



- David E. Hale, CPA, CFP Deborah A, Harper, CPA
- Donald G. Slater, CPA
  - · Gary A. Cates, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA Michael D. Mangold, CPA
  - · David S. Myers, CPA
- Susan F. Matz. CPA . Bryan S. Gruber, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

## Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Irvine, California's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2014. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City's complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2014.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

## **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Irvine, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated October 24, 2014, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Brea, California October 24, 2014

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
rederal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Direct Programs:  Community Development Block Grant *	14.218	B-12-MC-06-0557	\$ 878,928
		B-13-MC-06-0557 Program income	1,114,725 40,142
Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME)	14.239	M-12-MC-06-0561 M-13-MC-06-0561	329,302
		Program income	161,240 29,829
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,554,166
U.S. Department of Justice Direct Programs:			
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	2011	634
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	CA0302600	24,000
Total U.S. Department of Justice			24,634
U.S. Department of Transportation  Passed through the State of California:  Department of Transportation:	00.005	UOIDI 5440(075)	75.404
Highway Planning and Construction *	20.205	HSIPL-5410(075) RPSTPLE-5410(073) CML-5410(080) CML-5410(079)	75,401 201,911 18,954 18,552
Passed through the State of California:			
Department of Transportation: Highway Training and Education	20.215	12-5410R	64,081
			,
Passed through OCTA: Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement	20.507	C-0-1892	738,401
Passed through the City of Anaheim:			
State and Community Highway Safety - Avoid the 26 State and Community Highway Safety - Avoid the 38	20.600	AL1325 AL1440	2,397 4,431
Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety:			
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.600	PT1333 PT1403	25,564 61,459
Passed through University of California Berkley: Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	SC13194	31,275
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	SC14194	51,078
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			1,293,504
U.S. Department of Treasury Direct Program:			
Asset Forfeiture	21.000	CA0302600	284,596
Total U.S. Department of Treasury			284,596

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

	Federal CFDA	Pass-Through Grantor's	
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Energy Direct Program Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dissemination,			
Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance	81.117	DE-EE0005711	823,853
Total U.S. Department of Energy			823,853
<ul> <li><u>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</u></li> <li>Passed through the County of Orange Area Agency on Aging:</li> <li>Special Programs for the Aging_Title III, Part B_Grants for Supportive</li> </ul>			
Services and Senior Centers	93.044	AP-1011-22	37,397
Special Programs for the Aging_Title III, Part C_Nutrition Services	93.045	AP-1011-22	164,752
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.053	AP-1011-22	44,499
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			246,648
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Passed through the City of Anaheim:			
Urban Area Security Initiative - 2012	97.067	2012-SS-00123	159,092
Passed through the City of Santa Ana: Urban Area Security Initiative - 2011 Urban Area Security Initiative - 2013	97.067	2011-SS-0077 2013-00110	35,239 746
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			195,077
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ 5,422,478
Selected State Award	_		
California Department of Aging	-		
Passed through the County of Orange:	NI/A	AD 4044 22	¢ 44.000
Area Agency on Aging Nutrition Program for the Elderly	N/A	AP-1011-22	\$ 14,638

<sup>\*</sup> Major Program

Note a: Refer to Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for a description of significant accounting policies used in preparing this schedule.

Note b: There was no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance and insurance in effect during the year.

Note c: Total amount provided to subrecipients during the year from the Community Development Block Grant was \$182,043.

## NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

## a. Scope of Presentation

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Irvine, California, that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal financial assistance. For the purposes of this schedule, federal awards include both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds is reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

## b. Basis of Accounting

The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are incurred when the City becomes obligated for payment as a result of the receipt of the related goods and services. Expenditures reported included any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal program.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

## SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements				
Type of auditors' report issued: Unqualified Op	pinion			
Internal control over financial reporting:				
Significant deficiencies identified?		yes	Xno	
Material weaknesses identified?		yes	X_none reported	
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?		yes	Xno	
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major programs:				
Significant deficiencies identified?		yes	Xno	
Material weaknesses identified?		yes	X_none reported	
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance to	for major program	ns: Unqualified	Opinion	
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133?		yes	Xno	
Identification of major programs:				
CFDA Number(s)	Name of Fede	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		
14.218 20.205	Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Highway Planning and Construction			
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program	\$300,000			
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?		Xyes	no	

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

## **SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

No matters were reported.

## SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.

## SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

## **SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

No matters were reported.

## **SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

## Finding Number 2013-001

## Federal Program

CFDA Number: 81.117

Title: Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Federal Grantor: Department of Energy

Grant Number: DE-EE-0005711

## Criteria or Specific Requirement

The City is required to file quarterly SF-425 Federal Financial Reports and Research Performance Progress Reports within 30 days of the end of each quarter.

### Condition

Management indicted they are aware of the compliance requirement. The employee responsible for filing the reports acknowledged they were under the impression the reports were due by the end of the month following the end of the quarter.

## **Questioned Costs**

None. All costs were adequately reported in the subsequent quarterly report.

## Effect

The City did not meet the applicable deadline to file the third quarter RPPR and SF-425 reports. Filed one day late.

## Prevalence and Consequence

This appears to be an isolated situation which only occurred due to the misunderstanding of the compliance requirement. The information applicable to the third quarter was accurate, but was filed late.

## Recommendation

The City should be sure that employees responsible for filing reports and monitoring compliance requirements are well aware of all submission deadline and specific requirements.

## Management's Response

The filing deadline for the financial and progress report is 30 days after the end of the quarter. An isolated instance occurred where the financial and progress report was filed one day late due to unavailability of management to sign the reports within the required timeframe. DOE did not change the reporting status to delinquent as a result of this circumstance.

## SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

### Status

The City has submitted all required reports during the 2014 fiscal year.

## Finding Number 2013-002

## Federal Program

CFDA Number: 81.117

Title: Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

Federal Grantor: Department of Energy

Grant Number: DE-EE-0005711

## Criteria or Specific Requirement

Pursuant to the A-133 compliance supplement and the City/Contact Purchasing Policy Section 4.2, the City is required to perform a verification check for covered transactions to ensure that contracts are not awarded to suspended or debarred parties. This verification is required to be performed by the project manager prior to the contract being awarded.

### Condition

Although the City Contract/Purchasing Policy Section 4.2 "Verification of Contractor Status" indicates prior to the contract being awarded, the project manager should be verifying the state contractor license is valid and in good standing, and verify that the contractor has not been debarred or suspended according to the EPLS website. This was not performed by the project manager prior to the contract being awarded.

## **Questioned Costs**

None. All costs were adequately reported in the subsequent quarterly report.

## Effect

The City has no evidence proving that a verification check was performed prior to contracts being award with the use of federal funds.

## Prevalence and Consequence

This appears to be an isolated situation which occurred due to a lack of communication between the project manager and the City purchasing manager, and a lack of understanding of both the A-133 compliance requirements and the City purchasing policy.

## Recommendation

The City needs to have better lines of communication with all employees and project managers involved with monitoring grant compliance requirements. They need to be sure that employees are well trained on A-133 compliance requirements, and all employees are aware of their responsibilities relating to grant compliance.

## SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

## Management's Response

The City has notified that employee and department responsible for performing the verification check and will ensure that this procedure is performed properly and in a timely manner moving forward.

## Status

No such findings noted during the 2014 fiscal year.