

## **CITY OF IRVINE, CALIFORNIA**

## SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2012

### Lance Soll & Lunghard, LLP

203 North Brea Blvd Suite 203 Brea, CA 92821

41185 Golden Gate Circle Suite 103 Murrieta, CA 92562 CITY OF IRVINE, CALIFORNIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2012

## JUNE 30, 2012

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page <u>Number</u>
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012	5
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012	9
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011	11



Brandon W. Burrows, CPA
David E. Hale, CPA, CFP

- *A Professional Corporation*Donald G. Slater, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA
- Susan F. Matz, CPA
- Shelly K. Jackley, CPA
- Bryan S. Gruber, CPA
- Deborah A. Harper, CPA

#### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Irvine, California, (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 25, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of City of Irvine, California, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the audit committee, the City Council, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

Brea, California October 25, 2012



 Brandon W. Burrows, CPA
 David E. Hale, CPA, CFP A Professional Corporation

- Donald G. Slater, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA
- Susan F. Matz, CPA
- Shelly K. Jackley, CPA
- Bryan S. Gruber, CPA
- Deborah A. Harper, CPA

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

#### **Compliance**

We have audited the City of Irvine, California's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City of Irvine, California's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2012. The City of Irvine, California's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City of Irvine, California's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City of Irvine, California's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Irvine, California's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of City of Irvine, California's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City of Irvine, California, complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2012. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2012-1.

#### Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City of Irvine, California, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Irvine, California's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance with OMB



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Irvine, California

Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Irvine, California's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Irvine, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated October 25, 2012, which contained unqualified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The City of Irvine, California's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit City of Irvine, California's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, City Council, others within the entity, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

Brea, California October 25, 2012

# SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Direct Programs: Community Development Block Grant	14.218	B-10-MC-06-0557 B-11-MC-06-0557 Program income	\$ 451,322 272,806 77,947
Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) *	14.239	M-09-MC-06-0561 M-10-MC-06-0561 Program income	331,146 396,653 11
Economic Development Initiative - Special Project, Neighborhood Initiative and Miscellaneous Grant	14.251	B-09-SP-CA-0359	55,622
ARRA - Homeless Prevention Rapid Re-Housing Program	14.262	S-09-MY-06-0557	61,598
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			1,647,105
<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u> Direct Programs: Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	2010	10,760
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	CA0302600	34,939
Passed through County of Orange: Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	2010-DJ-BX-0324 2011-DJ-BX-2532	1,757 7,752
Total U.S. Department of Justice			55,208
U.S. Department of Transportation Passed through the State of California: Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	HSIPL-5410(066) RSTP-5410(047) RPSTPLE-5410(063) STPL-5410(074)	10,664 13,404 43,833 1,161,829
Passed through OCTA: Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	C-0-1511	1,366,877
Passed through the City of Anaheim: State and Community Highway Safety - Avoid the 26	20.600	AL1325 AL1178	12,720 9,799

# SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
rederal Grantol/Fass-Through Grantol/Frogram The	Number	Number	Experiatures
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued) Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.600	20863	72,911
Passed through California Highway Patrol: Alcohol Impaired Driving Countermeasures Incentive Grants I	20.601	11CO61070	8,868
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) Discretionary Safety Grants - Sobriety Checkpoint	20.608	SC11194	6,380
Passed through OCTA: Federal Transit Formula Grants Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement *	20.507	CA-95-X175	1,308,372
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			4,015,657
U.S. Department of Treasury Direct Program: Asset Forfeiture	21.000	CA0302600	10,681
Total U.S. Department of Treasury	21.000	070302000	10,681
<u>U.S. Department of Energy</u> Passed through Energy Solutions: State Energy Program ARRA - ETAP ITC Parking Lighting	81.041	None	67,976
Direct Program: ARRA - Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant *	81.128	DE-EE-0000865	125,698
Total U.S. Department of Energy		193,674	
<u>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</u> Passed through the County of Orange Area Agency on Aging: Special Programs for the Aging_Title III, Part C_Nutrition			
Services	93.044	AP-1011-22	38,750
CSA Senior Services Title II C Nutrition Services	93.045	AP-1011-22	164,516
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.053	AP-1011-22	46,499
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			249,765
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Passed through the California Emergency Management Agency:		FEMA-1952-DR- CA - CAL EMA-	
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.036	0539-36770	5,571
Passed through the City of Santa Ana: Urban Area Security Initiative - 2009	97.067	2009-0019	38,617

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Homeland Security (Continued)			
Passed through the City of Anaheim: Urban Area Security Initiative - 2010	97.067	2010	53,324
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			97,512
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ 6,269,602
Selected State Award			
California Department of Aging Passed through the County of Orange: Area Agency on Aging Nutrition Program for the Elderly	N/A	AP-1011-22	<u> </u>
* Major Program			
Note a: Refer to Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for a description of significant accounting policies used in preparing this schedule.			

Note b: There was no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance and insurance in effect during the year.

Note c: Total amount provided to subrecipients during the year from the Community Development Block Grant was \$161,887.

#### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

#### a. Scope of Presentation

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Irvine, California, that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal financial assistance. For the purposes of this schedule, federal awards include both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds is reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

#### b. Basis of Accounting

The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are incurred when the City becomes obligated for payment as a result of the receipt of the related goods and services. Expenditures reported included any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal program.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

## SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

#### **Financial Statements**

Type of auditors' report issued: Unqualified Opinion

Internal control over financial reporting:

•	Significant deficiencies identified?		yes	<u>X</u> no	
•	Significant deficiencies identified that are considered to be material weaknesses?		yes	X_none reported	
No	ncompliance material to financial statements noted?		yes	<u>X</u> no	
Fee	deral Awards				
Inte	ernal control over major programs:				
•	Significant deficiencies identified?		yes	<u>X</u> no	
•	Significant deficiencies identified that are considered to be material weaknesses?		yes	X_none reported	
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified Opinion					
Any	y audit findings disclosed that are required to reported in accordance with Section 510(a) Circular A-133?		<u>X</u> yes	no	
lde	Identification of major programs:				
	<u>CFDA Number(s)</u>	Name of Federal Program or Cluster			
	14.239 20.507 81.128	Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) Federal Transit _ Formula Grants ARRA - Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant			
Dol	lar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program	\$300,000			

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

<u>X</u>yes no

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

#### **SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

No matters were reported.

#### SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### Finding Number 2012-1

#### Federal Program

CFDA Number: 81.128 Title: ARRA Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Federal Grantor: Department of Energy Grant Number: DE-EE-000865

#### Criteria or Specific Requirement

The City is required to file quarterly Section 1512 ARRA reports on the FederalReporting.gov website.

#### Condition

Management indicted they are aware of the compliance requirement. However, the employee that was responsible for filing the quarterly ARRA reports left the City without giving adequate notice to management.

#### **Questioned Costs**

None. All costs were adequately reported in the subsequent quarterly report.

#### Effect

The City was unable to meet the applicable deadline to file the second quarter Section 1512 ARRA report for the 2011-12 fiscal year.

#### Prevalence and Consequence

This appears to be an isolated situation which only occurred due to the untimely departure of a City employee. The information applicable to the second quarter was subsequently provided in the third quarter report.

#### Recommendation

The City should develop procedures or train another employee to ensure there is more than one person that is able to file the Section 1512 ARRA reports in the event that one of the employees were to leave the City.

#### Management's Response

The Department has reorganized the Environmental Programs section to provide clear roles and responsibilities in the event an employee is absent from work and tasks need to be completed on time by another staff member. In addition, the reporting dates are included in the Administrator's calendar and communication of all section deadlines, including EECBG, are reviewed in biweekly staff meetings.

#### SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

#### SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

No matters were reported.

#### SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.